

PO Box 125, Otorohanga  
Waikato, New Zealand

2B, 23 George Street, Newmarket  
Auckland, New Zealand

P +64 7 873 8385  
F +64 7 873 8876  
E [jmr@actrix.co.nz](mailto:jmr@actrix.co.nz)

M +64 27 4947 514  
[www.juliemeaderose.com](http://www.juliemeaderose.com)

Social and Environmental  
Planning Consultants

**THE DRURY SOUTH BUSINESS PROJECT  
DRAFT SOCIAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT  
PEER REVIEW FINAL COMMENTS**

**FOR STEVENSON GROUP LTD**

**Julie Meade Rose  
25 February 2010**

## CONTENTS

<b>1</b>	<b>Peer Review Scope of Works</b>	Page <b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Peer Review Final comments</b>	<b>2</b>

## **1 Peer Review Scope of Works**

The scope of works is to undertake a peer review on the Social Impact Assessment (the SIA report) prepared for the Drury South Business Project. The scope of the peer review is as follows:

- To assess whether the SIA report meets the SIA scope of works (set out in the report) and SIA objectives;
- To assess the approach used in evaluating the social impacts of the project;
- To assess coverage of the relevant issues;
- To assess the validity of SIA report conclusions drawn; and
- To assess the appropriateness of proposed avoidance/mitigation measures.

The peer review followed two stages. The Stage 1 review, an initial review of the draft SIA report, was undertaken in November 2009. The Stage 2 review, a peer review of the final draft SIA report, was undertaken in February 2010. As per the brief, the peer review is not a separate full assessment of social impacts. It reflects collaborative work with Beca.

The final comments below report on Beca's 'Drury Social Impact Assessment Response to comments from Peer Review (Stage 2)'. The final comments close the SIA peer review process before lodging planning documentation and assessment of environmental effects required to accompany the request for Plan Changes and an extension to the MUL.

## **2 Peer Review Final Comments**

Beca's response and actions undertaken appropriately address the points raised in the Peer Review (Stage 2) report. I suggest a further minor change to the SIA Report as indicated earlier. The change relates to Beca's response report (number 6 under Specific Comment) and is a minor order change in the SIA Report under 7.1. 'Individual and Family Level Structures' should perhaps be before 'Community and Institutional Structures or Arrangements' to follow the order of reporting in 7.3, 7.4 and 7.5.

On completion of this minor reordering, in my opinion

- The SIA report meets the SIA scope of works (as set out in the report) and SIA objectives;
- The approach used in evaluating the social impacts of the project is appropriate;
- The coverage of relevant issues is valid and robust;
- The conclusions drawn in the SIA report are valid; and
- The proposed avoidance/mitigation measures are appropriate.

Dale Paice

---

From: Lisa Franks <Lisa.Franks@nzta.govt.nz>  
Sent: Tuesday, 8 February 2011 9:10 a.m.  
To: Lisa Franks  
Subject: FW: Peer review - Drury South Project Social Impact Assessment  
  
Importance: High

---

From: Julie Meade Rose [jmr@actrix.co.nz]  
Sent: Monday, 7 February 2011 7:43 p.m.  
To: Amelia Linzey  
Cc: Lisa Franks  
Subject: Peer review - Drury South Project Social Impact Assessment

Amelia

Thank you for the documentation on the above named project.

I have checked changes in the Social Impact Assessment report dated 17 December 2010 against the peer review reports I produced for the project.

I confirm that my peer review conclusions have not materially altered.

Sincerely  
Julie

Julie Meade Rose  
Social & Environmental Ltd  
Ph 64 7 873 8385  
Mob 64 274 947 514

\_\_\_\_\_ Information from ESET Smart Security, version of virus signature database 4956 (20100318)  
\_\_\_\_\_

The message was checked by ESET Smart Security.

<http://www.eset.com>

Find the latest transport news, information, and advice on our website:  
[www.nzta.govt.nz](http://www.nzta.govt.nz)

---

This email is only intended to be read by the named recipient. It may contain information which is confidential, proprietary or the subject of legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient you must delete this email and may not use any information contained in it. Legal privilege is not waived because you have read this email.